2015 Canadian Paediatric Society, Newborn Male Circumcision: "With newborn circumcision, medical necessity has not been clearly established... [T]he risk:benefit ratio of routine newborn male circumcision is closely balanced ... The CPS does not recommend the routine circumcision of every newborn male."

#### 2012 American Academy of Pediatrics,

Circumcision Policy Statement: "... [the] health benefits are not great enough to recommend routine circumcision for all male newborns..."

### 2010 Royal Australasian College of

Physicians, Circumcision of Infant Males: "Ethical and human rights concerns have been raised regarding elective infant male circumcision because it is recognized that the foreskin has a functional role, the operation is non-therapeutic and the infant is unable to consent. After reviewing the currently available evidence, the RACP believes that the frequency of disease modifiable by circumcision, the level of protection offered by circumcision, and the complication rates of circumcision do not warrant routine infant circumcision in Australia and New Zealand."

#### 2010 Royal Dutch Medical Association,

Non-therapeutic Circumcision of Male Minors: "There is no convincing evidence that circumcision is useful or necessary in terms of prevention or hygiene... Non-therapeutic circumcision of male minors is contrary to the rule that minors may only be exposed to medical treatments if illness or abnormalities are present, or if it can be convincingly demonstrated that the medical intervention is in the interest of the child... Non-therapeutic circumcision of male minors conflicts with the child's right to autonomy and physical integrity."

2009 College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia, Circumcision (Infant Male): "Current understanding of the benefits, risks and potential harm of this procedure no longer supports this practice for prophylactic health benefit. Routine infant male circumcision performed on a healthy infant is now considered a non-therapeutic and medically unnecessary intervention."

#### 2006 British Medical Association,

The Law and Ethics of Male Circumcision:
Guidance for Doctors: "To circumcise for
therapeutic reasons where medical research has
shown other techniques to be at least as
effective and less invasive would be unethical
and inappropriate... The medical benefits
previously claimed have not been convincingly
proven... The BMA considers that the
evidence concerning health benefits from
non-therapeutic circumcision is insufficient for
this alone to be a justification for doing it."

#### 2002 American Academy of Family

**Physicians,** Position Paper on Neonatal Circumcision: "Evidence from the literature is often conflicting or inconclusive... A physician performing a procedure for other than medical reasons on a non-consenting patient raises ethical concerns."

#### 1996 Australian Medical Association,

Circumcision Deterred: "The Australian College of Paediatrics should continue to discourage the practice of circumcision in newborns."

#### 1996 Australasian Association of Paediatric

**Surgeons,** *Guidelines for Circumcision:* "The Australasian Association of Paediatric Surgeons does not support the routine circumcision of male neonates, infants, or children in Australia. It is considered to be inappropriate and unnecessary as a routine to remove the prepuce [foreskin], based on the current evidence available... We do not support the removal of a normal part of the body, unless there are definite indications to justify the complications and risks which may arise. In particular, we are opposed to male children being subjected to a procedure which, had they been old enough to consider the advantages and disadvantages, may well have opted to reject the operation and retain their prepuce."

#### **REFERENCES:**

American Academy of Pediatrics, Task Force on Circumcision. 2012. *Circumcision Policy Statement*. Pediatrics 2012;130(3)3:585-6. *Technical Report: Male Circumcision*. Pediatrics 2012;130(3)3:e756-e785.

Australian Medical Association. 1997. Circumcision Deterred. *Australian Medicine*. 6-20 January:5.

College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia. Circumcision (Infant Male). In: *Resource Manual for Physicians*. Vancouver, BC: College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia, 2009.

Commission on Clinical Policies and Research. 2002. *Position Paper on Neonatal Circumcision*. Leawood, Kansas: American Academy of Family Physicians.

Committee on Medical Ethics. 2006. *The Law & Ethics of Male Circumcision: Guidance for Doctors*. London: British Medical Association.

Leditschke JF. 1996. *Guidelines for Circumcision*. Australasian Association of Paediatric Surgeons. Herston, QLD, Australia.

Royal Australasian College of Physicians. 2010. *Circumcision of Male Infants*. Paediatrics & Child Health Division. Sydney, Australia.

Royal Dutch Medical Association (KNMG). 2010. Non-therapeutic Circumcision of Male Minors.

Sorakan ST, Finlay JC, Jefferies AL; Canadian Paediatric Society. Newborn male circumcision. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2015;20(6):311-5.

Rev. December 2015

# ON THE ETHICS OF CIRCUMCISION FOR POTENTIAL MEDICAL BENEFIT

From: "The Ethical Canary: Science, Society, and the Human Spirit" by Margaret Sommerville, founding director of the Centre for Medicine, Ethics, and Law at McGill University, Montreal.

A common error made by those who want to justify infant male circumcision on the basis of medical benefits is that they believe that as long as some such benefits are present, circumcision can be justified as therapeutic, in the sense of preventive health care.

This is not correct.

A medical-benefits or 'therapeutic' justification requires that:

- 1) overall the medical benefits sought outweigh the risks and harms of the procedure required to obtain them,
- 2) that this procedure is the only reasonable way to obtain these benefits, and
- 3) that these benefits are necessary to the well-being of the child.

None of these conditions is fulfilled for routine infant male circumcision.

If we view a child's foreskin as having a valid function, we are no more justified in amputating it than any other part of the child's body unless the operation is medically required treatment and the least harmful way to provide that treatment.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT:
www.cirp.org www.IntactAmerica.org
www.circinfo.org www.circumcision.org
www.ColoradoNOCIRC.org

## **Excerpts from**

# Circumcision Position Statements of Medical Societies Worldwide



NO national medical organization in the world recommends routine circumcision of male infants.